

3 Examples of very brief submissions

1. I'm totally opposed to the amended plan for North Stoneville. Reference number DR 189/2020

The proposed North Stoneville development must not be approved due to the extreme bushfire risk it poses. With 3,000 people crammed into an area designated as an Extreme Bushfire Zone, the safety of residents cannot be guaranteed.

The potential loss of life and property damage is simply too great a risk to take.

2. I'm totally opposed to the amended plan for North Stoneville. Reference number DR 189/2020

Satterley's proposed 'Evacuation Solution' of a non-existent highway is simply not good enough. In the event of a bushfire emergency, residents would be stranded with no clear means of escape.

This lack of a viable evacuation route is a major safety concern and cannot be ignored.

3. I'm totally opposed to the amended plan for North Stoneville. Reference number DR 189/2020

The proposed North Stoneville development would result in significant environmental loss, with 160 hectares of land, 50,000 trees, and Black Cocky habitat at risk. This loss of habitat would have a significant impact on local biodiversity and would also result in the displacement of wildlife.

This is an unacceptable cost to pay for urban development.